



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,353	11/01/2001	William R. Kennedy	KDY 9485	5231	
321	7590 04/30/	004	EXAMINER		
	R POWERS LEAV	MAI, LANNA			
ONE METR	OPOLITAN SQUAI OR	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS,	MO 63102		3637		
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/003,353	KENNEDY ET AL.	\sim				
Advisory Addicti	Examin r	Art Unit 7					
	Lanna Mai	3637	•				
The MAILING DATE fthis communication appe	ars n the cover sheet with the c	rresp ndence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appronunt of the fee. The appro- originally set in the final C	on. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>Applicant's argument is nonpersuasive</u> .							
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		. –	-				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,14 and 31-40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
B. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
SUPER	LANNA MAI RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 3600						
LEGUNOLOGI GENTER 3000							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The drawing correction made in fig. 2 raises new matter in that the corrected fig. 2 shows the rebar-type element 26 embedded in the core while the spec. on p.5 discloses the rebar-type elements 26 may be attached to the inside faces of the panels 21, 23. In addition, applicant's arguments with respect to the claims are not persuasive: The mine passage and mine environment are intended uses which are given no patentable weight.